NAG Fortran Library Routine Document

S21BCF

Note: before using this routine, please read the Users' Note for your implementation to check the interpretation of **bold italicised** terms and other implementation-dependent details.

1 Purpose

S21BCF returns a value of the symmetrised elliptic integral of the second kind, via the routine name.

2 Specification

```
real FUNCTION S21BCF(X, Y, Z, IFAIL)
INTEGER IFAIL
real X, Y, Z
```
3 Description

This routine calculates an approximate value for the integral

$$
R_D(x, y, z) = \frac{3}{2} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{dt}{\sqrt{(t+x)(t+y)(t+z)^3}}
$$

where x, $y \ge 0$, at most one of x and y is zero, and $z > 0$.

The basic algorithm, [which is due to Carlson \(1978\) and Carlson \(1988\), is to reduce th](#page-1-0)e arguments recursively towards their mean by the rule:

$$
x_0 = x, y_0 = y, z_0 = z
$$

\n
$$
\mu_n = (x_n + y_n + 3z_n)/5
$$

\n
$$
X_n = (1 - x_n)/\mu_n
$$

\n
$$
Y_n = (1 - y_n)/\mu_n
$$

\n
$$
Z_n = (1 - z_n)/\mu_n
$$

\n
$$
\lambda_n = \sqrt{x_n y_n} + \sqrt{y_n z_n} + \sqrt{z_n x_n}
$$

\n
$$
x_{n+1} = (x_n + \lambda_n)/4
$$

\n
$$
y_{n+1} = (y_n + \lambda_n)/4
$$

\n
$$
z_{n+1} = (z_n + \lambda_n)/4
$$

For n sufficiently large,

$$
\epsilon_n = \max(|X_n|, |Y_n|, |Z_n|) \sim \left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^n
$$

and the function may be approximated adequately by a 5th order power series

$$
R_D(x, y, z) = 3 \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} \frac{4^{-m}}{(z_m + \lambda_n)\sqrt{z_m}}
$$

$$
+ \frac{4^{-n}}{\sqrt{\mu_n^3}} \left[1 + \frac{3}{7} S_n^{(2)} + \frac{1}{3} S_n^{(3)} + \frac{3}{22} (S_n^{(2)})^2 + \frac{3}{11} S_n^{(4)} + \frac{3}{13} S_n^{(2)} S_n^{(3)} + \frac{3}{13} S_n^{(5)} \right]
$$

where $S_n^{(m)} = (X_n^m + Y_n^m + 3Z_n^m)/2m$. The truncation error in this expansion is bounded by $3\epsilon_n^{-6}$ $\frac{n}{2}$ $\frac{\sigma_{\epsilon_n}}{\sqrt{(1-\epsilon_n)^3}}$ and the recursive process is terminated when this quantity is negligible compared with the

machine precision.

The routine may fail either because it has been called with arguments outside the domain of definition, or with arguments so extreme that there is an unavoidable danger of setting underflow or overflow.

Note: $R_D(x, x, x) = x^{-3/2}$, so there exists a region of extreme arguments for which the function value is not representable.

4 References

Abramowitz M and Stegun I A (1972) Handbook of Mathematical Functions (3rd Edition) Dover Publications

Carlson B C (1978) Computing elliptic integrals by duplication Preprint Department of Physics, Iowa State University

Carlson B C (1988) A table of elliptic integrals of the third kind Math. Comput. 51 267–280

5 Parameters

Constraint: X, $Y \ge 0.0$, $Z > 0.0$ and only one of X and Y may be zero.

4: IFAIL – INTEGER Input/Output

On entry: IFAIL must be set to $0, -1$ or 1. Users who are unfamiliar with this parameter should refer to Chapter P01 for details.

On exit: IFAIL $= 0$ unless the routine detects an error (see Section 6).

For environments where it might be inappropriate to halt program execution when an error is detected, the value -1 or 1 is recommended. If the output of error messages is undesirable, then the value 1 is recommended. Otherwise, for users not familiar with this parameter the recommended value is 0. When the value -1 or 1 is used it is essential to test the value of IFAIL on exit.

6 Error Indicators and Warnings

If on entry IFAIL $= 0$ or -1 , explanatory error messages are output on the current error message unit (as defined by X04AAF).

Errors or warnings detected by the routine:

 $IFAIL = 1$

On entry, either X or Y is negative, or both X and Y are zero; the function is undefined.

$IFAIL = 2$

On entry, $Z \leq 0.0$; the function is undefined.

$IFAIL = 3$

On entry, either Z is too close to zero or both X and Y are too close to zero: there is a danger of setting overflow.

 $IFAIL = 4$

On entry, at least one of X, Y and Z is too large: there is a danger of setting underflow.

On soft failure the routine returns zero.

7 Accuracy

In principle the routine is capable of producing full *machine precision*. However round-off errors in internal arithmetic will result in slight loss of accuracy. This loss should never be excessive as the algorithm does not involve any significant amplification of round-off error. It is reasonable to assume that the result is accurate to within a small multiple of the machine precision.

8 Further Comments

Users should consult the S Chapter Introduction which shows the relationship of this function to the classical definitions of the elliptic integrals.

9 Example

This example program simply generates a small set of non-extreme arguments which are used with the routine to produce the table of low accuracy results.

9.1 Program Text

Note: the listing of the example program presented below uses **bold italicised** terms to denote precision-dependent details. Please read the Users' Note for your implementation to check the interpretation of these terms. As explained in the Essential Introduction to this manual, the results produced may not be identical for all implementations.

```
* S21BCF Example Program Text
     Mark 14 Revised. NAG Copyright 1989.
* .. Parameters ..
     INTEGER NOUT
     PARAMETER (NOUT=6)
     .. Local Scalars ..<br>real RD
     real RD, X, Y, Z<br>
INTEGER IFAIL IX.
                       IFAIL, IX, IY
     .. External Functions ..<br>real series
                      S21BCF<br>S21BCF
     EXTERNAL
* .. Executable Statements ..
     WRITE (NOUT,*) 'S21BCF Example Program Results'
     WRITE (NOUT,*)
     WRITE (NOUT,*) ' X Y Z S21BCF IFAIL'
     WRITE (NOUT,*)
     DO 40 IX = 1, 3X = IX*0.5e0DO 20 IY = IX, 3
           Y = IY*0.5e0Z = 1.0e0IFAIL = 1*
           RD = S21BCF(X,Y,Z,IFAIL)*
           WRITE (NOUT,99999) X, Y, Z, RD, IFAIL
  20 CONTINUE
  40 CONTINUE
     STOP
*
99999 FORMAT (1X,3F7.2,F12.4,I5)
     END
```
9.2 Program Data

None.

9.3 Program Results

S21BCF Example Program Results

